

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-15 are pending in the application, with Claims 1, 3, 7 and 10 being the independent claims.

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-3, 7, 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2003/0064757 to *Yamadera et al.* (hereinafter, *Yamadera*) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,975,836 to *Tashiro et al.* (hereinafter, *Tashiro*). The Examiner rejected Claims 4, 6, 11 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yamadera* in view of *Tashiro* and U.S. Patent No. 7,102,640 to *Aholainen et al.* (hereinafter, *Aholainen*). The Examiner rejected Claims 5 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yamadera* in view of *Tashiro* and U.S. Patent No. 6,434,484 to *Lee*. The Examiner rejected Claims 8 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yamadera* in view of *Tashiro* and U.S. Patent No. 6,078,816 to *Weiss et al.* (hereinafter, *Weiss*). The Examiner has rejected Claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yamadera* in view of *Tashiro*, *Aholainen* and *Weiss*.

Regarding the §103(a) rejection of Claims 1-3, 7, 9 and 10, the Examiner contends that each element of Claim 1 is taught, suggested or rendered obvious by the combination of *Yamadera* and *Tashiro*. More specifically, the Examiner contends that *Yamadera* teaches every limitation of Claim 1 with the exception of a reception sensitivity indicator of a cellular mode having a different form from a reception sensitivity indicator of a GPS mode. The Examiner cites *Tashiro* in an attempt to remedy this deficiency.

Claim 1 recites, in part, a method for displaying reception sensitivity on a display screen of a multi-functional mobile terminal with at least two communication functions. A reception sensitivity of a reception signal for a prescribed communication function among the communication functions is checked. A reception sensitivity indicator is displayed indicating the

reception sensitivity of the prescribed communication function on the display screen. If an operation mode of a communication function other than the prescribed communication function is enabled, reception sensitivity of a reception signal for the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode is checked. A reception sensitivity indicator is displayed indicating the reception sensitivity of the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode instead of displaying the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed communication function. The reception sensitivity indicator corresponding to the enabled operation mode has a different form from the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed communication function of the display screen.

Yamadera discloses a method of displaying information on a screen, including a radio reception level. *Tashiro* discloses a data broadcasting system that transmits content used in a program and presentation control data specifying the manner of presentation of each content, in a multiplex by assigning in arbitrary channels in each program.

While *Yamadera* describes a third display area 19 in which a radio reception level and a battery level are displayed, it fails to provide any disclosure relating to the display of a reception sensitivity indicator for a second communication function. *Yamadera* fails to teach that the radio reception level displayed in third display area 19 is changed corresponding to a change in the menu displayed in second display area 18. Thus, *Yamadera* fails to disclose that if an operation mode of a communication function other than the prescribed communication function is enabled, a reception sensitivity indicator for indicating the reception sensitivity of the communication function corresponding to the enabled operation mode is displayed instead of the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed communication function, as recited in Claim 1.

Although *Tashiro* shows reception sensitivity indicators for two communication functions on a display of a mobile communication terminal, *Tashiro* also fails to disclose that one reception sensitivity indicator is displayed instead of another reception sensitivity indicator when another communication function is enabled. Thus, *Tashiro* fails to disclose the display of a reception sensitivity indicator for indicating the reception sensitivity of the communication

function corresponding to the enabled operation mode instead of displaying the reception sensitivity indicator of the prescribed communication function, as recited in Claim 1. Thus, *Tashiro* fails to remedy the deficiency of *Yamadera*, and Claim 1 is patentable over the combination of *Yamadera* and *Tashiro*.


The Examiner also rejected independent Claims 3, 7 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 3, 7 and 10 recite elements similar to those of Claim 1. More specifically, Claims 3, 7 and 10 recite the display of a reception sensitivity indicator instead of another reception sensitivity indicator, wherein the two reception sensitivity indicators have different forms. In view of the above, Claims 3, 7 and 10 are also patentable over the combination of *Yamadera* and *Tashiro*.

Regarding Claims 2 and 9, while not conceding the patentability of the dependent claims, *per se*, Claims 2 and 9 are also patentable for at least the above reasons. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that Claims 1-3, 7, 9 and 10 are allowable over the combination of *Yamadera* and *Tashiro*, and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn.

Regarding the §103(a) rejections of Claims 4-6, 8 and 11-15, *Aholainen*, *Lee* and *Weiss* fail to remedy the deficiencies of *Yamadera* and *Tashiro* described above. While not conceding the patentability of the dependent claims, *per se*, Claims 4-6, 8 and 11-15 are also patentable for at least the above reasons. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that Claims 4-6, 8 and 11-15 are allowable over *Yamadera*, *Tashiro*, *Aholainen*, *Lee*, *Weiss*, or any combination thereof, and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-15 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Registration No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant(s)

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C.
333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Ste. 701
Uniondale, New York 11553
(516) 228-3565